

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-25 are pending in the application. Claims 1 and 25 have each been amended in two locations to delete the language "tertiary amine amide". Claims 1, 10-17 and 25 have been amended to provide the proper antecedent basis.

Paragraphs [0025] and [0028] have been amended to delete the language "tertiary amine amide".

Claims 1-25 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claims the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant's catalysts as represented by the chemical structure are not "tertiary amine amides". Accordingly, independent Claims 1 and 25 have been amended to obviate this rejection.

Claims 1-23 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. 6,747,069 in view of EP 1,249,461. Enclosed is a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this rejection.

Believing the application is now in condition for allowance, Applicant solicits an action to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Leach
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 27,349

7201 Hamilton Boulevard
Allentown, PA 18195-1501
(610) 481-8519